

Algebraic cycles on Jacobian varieties

Arnaud BEAUVILLE

Introduction

Let C be a compact Riemann surface of genus g . Its Jacobian variety J carries a number of natural subvarieties, defined up to translation: first of all the curve C embeds into J , then we can use the group law in J to form $W_2 = C + C$, $W_3 = C + C + C$, ... till W_{g-1} which is a theta divisor on J . Then we can intersect these subvarieties, add again, pull back or push down under multiplication by integers, and so on. Thus we get a rather big supply of algebraic subvarieties which live naturally in J .

If we look at the classes obtained this way in rational cohomology, the result is disappointing: we just find the subalgebra of $H^*(J, \mathbf{Q})$ generated by the class θ of the theta divisor – in fact, the polynomials in θ are the only algebraic cohomology classes which live on a generic Jacobian. The situation becomes more interesting if we look at the $\mathbf{Q}$ -algebra $A(J)$ of algebraic cycles modulo algebraic equivalence on J ; here a result of Ceresa [C] implies that for a generic curve C , the class of W_{g-p} in $A^p(J)$ is *not* proportional to θ^p for $2 \leq p \leq g-1$. This leads naturally to investigate the “tautological subring” of $A(J)$, that is, the smallest $\mathbf{Q}$ -vector subspace R of $A(J)$ which contains C and is stable under the natural operations of $A(J)$: intersection and Pontryagin products (see (1.1) below), pull back and push down under multiplication by integers. Our main result states that this space is not too complicated. Let $w^p \in A^p(J)$ be the class of W_{g-p} . Then:

Theorem. – a) R is the sub- $\mathbf{Q}$ -algebra of $A(J)$ generated by $w^1, \dots, w^{g-1}$.

b) If C admits a morphism of degree d onto $\mathbf{P}^1$, R is generated by $w^1, \dots, w^{d-1}$.

In particular we see that R is finite-dimensional, a fact which does not seem to be a priori obvious (the space $A(J)$ is known to be infinite-dimensional for C generic of genus 3 , see [N]).

The proof rests in an essential way on the properties of the Fourier transform, a $\mathbf{Q}$ -linear automorphism of $A(J)$ with remarkable properties. We recall these properties in § 1; in § 2 we look at the case of Jacobian varieties, computing in particular the Fourier transform of the class of C in $A(J)$. This is the main ingredient in the proof of part a) of the theorem, which we give in § 3. Part b) turns out to be an easy consequence of a result of Colombo and van Geemen [CG]; this is explained in § 4, together with a few examples.

1. Algebraic cycles on abelian varieties

(1.1) Let X be an abelian variety over $\mathbf{C}$. We will denote by p and q the two projections of $X \times X$ onto X , and by $m : X \times X \rightarrow X$ the addition map.

Let $A(X)$ be the group of algebraic cycles on X modulo algebraic equivalence, *tensored with $\mathbf{Q}$* . It is a $\mathbf{Q}$ -vector space, graded by the codimension of the cycle classes. It carries two natural multiplication laws $A(J) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} A(J) \rightarrow A(J)$, which are associative and commutative: the intersection product, which is homogeneous with respect to the graduation, and the Pontryagin product, defined by

$$x * y := m_*(p^*x \cdot q^*y) ,$$

which is homogeneous of degree $-g$. If Y and Z are subvarieties of X , the cycle class $[Y] * [Z]$ is equal to $(\deg \mu) [Y + Z]$ if the addition map $\mu : Y \times Z \rightarrow Y + Z$ is generically finite, and is zero otherwise.

(1.2) For $k \in \mathbf{Z}$, we will still denote by k the endomorphism $x \mapsto kx$ of X . According to [B2], there is a second graduation on $A(X)$, leading to a bigraduation

$$A(X) = \bigoplus_{s,p} A^p(X)_{(s)}$$

such that

$$k^*x = k^{2p-s}x \quad , \quad k_*x = k^{2g-2p+s}x \quad \text{for } x \in A^p(X)_{(s)} .$$

Both products are homogeneous with respect to the second graduation. We have $A^p(X)_{(s)} = 0$ for $s < p - g$ or $s \geq g$ (use [B2], Prop. 4). It is conjectured that negative degrees actually do not occur; this will not concern us here, as we will only consider cycles in $A(X)_{(s)}$ for $s \geq 0$.

(1.3) A crucial tool in what follows will be the Fourier transform for algebraic cycles, defined in [B1]. Let us recall briefly the results we will need – the proofs can be found in [B1] and [B2]. We will concentrate on the case of a *principally polarized* abelian variety (X, θ) , identifying X with its dual abelian variety.

Let $\ell := p^*\theta + q^*\theta - m^*\theta \in A^1(X \times X)$; it is the class of the *Poincaré line bundle* $\mathcal{L}$ on $X \times X$. The Fourier transform $\mathcal{F} : A(X) \rightarrow A(X)$ is defined by $\mathcal{F}x = q_*(p^*x \cdot e^\ell)$. It satisfies the following properties:

$$(1.4) \quad \mathcal{F} \circ \mathcal{F} = (-1)^g (-1)^*$$

$$(1.5) \quad \mathcal{F}(x * y) = \mathcal{F}x \cdot \mathcal{F}y \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{F}(x \cdot y) = (-1)^g \mathcal{F}x * \mathcal{F}y$$

$$(1.6) \quad \mathcal{F}A^p(X)_{(s)} = A^{g-p+s}(X)_{(s)}$$

$$(1.7) \quad \text{Let } x \in A(X) ; \text{ put } \bar{x} = (-1)^*x . \text{ Then } \mathcal{F}x = e^\theta \left((\bar{x}e^\theta) * e^{-\theta} \right) .$$

Let us prove (1.7), which is not explicitly stated in [B1] or [B2]. Replacing ℓ by its definition, we get $\mathcal{F}x = e^\theta q_*(p^*(xe^\theta) \cdot e^{-m^*\theta})$. Let ω be the automorphism of

$A \times A$ defined by $\omega(a, b) = (-a, a + b)$. We have $p \circ \omega = -p$, $q \circ \omega = m$, $m \circ \omega = q$. Hence

$$\mathcal{F}x = e^\theta q_* \omega_* \omega^* (p^*(xe^\theta) \cdot e^{-m^*\theta}) = e^\theta m_* (p^*(\bar{x}e^\theta) \cdot q^*e^{-\theta}) = e^\theta ((\bar{x}e^\theta) * e^{-\theta}) \quad . \blacksquare$$

2. The Fourier transform on a Jacobian

(2.1) From now on we take for our abelian variety the Jacobian (J, θ) of a smooth projective curve C of genus g . We choose a base point $o \in C$, which allows us to define an embedding $\varphi : C \hookrightarrow J$ by $\varphi(p) = \mathcal{O}_C(p - o)$. Since we are working modulo algebraic equivalence, all our constructions will be independent of the choice of the base point.

We will denote simply by C the class of $\varphi(C)$ in $A^{g-1}(J)$. For $0 \leq d \leq g$, we put $w^{g-d} := \frac{1}{d!} C^{*d} \in A^{g-d}(J)$; it is the class of the subvariety W_d of J parameterizing line bundles of the form $\mathcal{O}_C(E_d - do)$, where E_d is an effective divisor of degree d . We have $w^1 = \theta$ by the Riemann theorem, $w^{g-1} = C$, and w^g is the class of a point. We define the *Newton polynomials* in the classes w^i by

$$N^k(w) = \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{i=1}^g \lambda_i^k$$

in the ring obtained by adjoining to $A(J)$ the roots $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_g$ of the equation $\lambda^g - \lambda^{g-1}w^1 + \dots + (-1)^g w^g = 0$. We have $N^k(w) \in A^k(J)$; for instance:

$$N^1(w) = \theta \quad , \quad N^2(w) = \frac{1}{2}\theta^2 - w^2 \quad , \quad N^3(w) = \frac{1}{6}\theta^3 - \frac{1}{2}\theta \cdot w^2 - \frac{1}{2}w^3 \quad , \quad \dots$$

(2.2) The class $N^k(w)$ is a polynomial in $w^1, \dots, w^k$; conversely, w^k is a polynomial in $N^1(w), \dots, N^k(w)$.

Proposition 2.3. — *We have $-\mathcal{F}C = N^1(w) + N^2(w) + \dots + N^{g-1}(w)$.*

Proof: We use the notation of (1.3), and denote moreover by $\bar{p}, \bar{q}$ the projections of $C \times J$ onto C and J . Consider the cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C \times J & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & J \times J \\ \bar{p} \downarrow & & \downarrow p \\ C & \xrightarrow[\varphi]{} & J \end{array}$$

with $\Phi = (\varphi, 1_J)$. Put $\bar{\ell} := \Phi^* \ell$. We have $p^* C \cdot e^\ell = \Phi_* 1 \cdot e^\ell = \Phi_* e^{\bar{\ell}}$, and therefore

$$\mathcal{F}C = \bar{q}_* e^{\bar{\ell}}.$$

The line bundle $\bar{\mathcal{L}} := \Phi^* \mathcal{L}$ is the Poincaré line bundle on $C \times J$: that is, we have $\bar{\mathcal{L}}_{C \times \{\alpha\}} = \alpha$ for all $\alpha \in J$, and $\bar{\mathcal{L}}_{\{o\} \times J} = \mathcal{O}_J$. We will now work exclusively on $C \times J$, and suppress the bar above the letters p , q , $\mathcal{L}$ and ℓ . We apply the Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch theorem to q and $\mathcal{L}$. Since we are working modulo algebraic equivalence, the Todd class of C is simply $1 + (1 - g)o$. Let $i_o : J \hookrightarrow C \times J$ be the map $\alpha \mapsto (o, \alpha)$; we have

$$q_*(p^* o \cdot e^\ell) = q_* i_{o*} i_o^* e^\ell = i_o^* e^\ell = 1$$

since $i_o^* \mathcal{L}$ is trivial. Thus

$$\text{ch } q! \mathcal{L} = q_*(p^* \text{Todd}(C) \cdot \text{ch } \mathcal{L}) = q_* e^\ell - (g - 1).$$

The Chern classes of $q! \mathcal{L}$ are computed in [M]: we have

$$c(-q! \mathcal{L}) = 1 + w^1 + \dots + w^g.$$

Putting things together we obtain

$$\mathcal{F}C = q_* e^\ell = g - 1 - \text{ch}(-q! \mathcal{L}) = -(N^1(w) + N^2(w) + \dots + N^g(w)). \blacksquare$$

Let $C = \sum_{s=0}^{g-1} C_{(s)}$ be the decomposition of C in $\bigoplus_s A^{g-1}(J)_{(s)}$. From the Proposition, (1.6) and (1.4) we obtain:

Corollary 2.4.— *We have $N^k(w) = -\mathcal{F}C_{(k-1)} \in A^k(J)_{(k-1)}$ and $\mathcal{F}(N^k(w)) = (-1)^{g+k} C_{(k-1)}$.* ■

Corollary 2.5.— *The $\mathbf{Q}$ -subalgebra R of $A(J)$ generated by $w^1, \dots, w^{g-1}$ is bigraded. In particular, it is stable under the operations k^* and k_* for each $k \in \mathbf{Z}$.*

Indeed R is also generated by the elements $N^1(w), \dots, N^{g-1}(w)$ (2.2), which are homogeneous for both graduations. ■

3. Proof of the main result

In order to prove part a) of the theorem, it remains to prove that the $\mathbf{Q}$ -subalgebra R of $A(J)$ generated by $w^1, \dots, w^{g-1}$ is stable under the Pontryagin product. In view of (1.5) it suffices to prove:

Proposition 3.1. — R is stable under $\mathcal{F}$.

Proof: Let $\mathcal{F}R$ denote the image of R under the Fourier transform; it is a vector space over $\mathbf{Q}$, stable under the Pontryagin product (1.5). We will prove that $\mathcal{F}R$ is stable under $\mathcal{F}$, that is, $\mathcal{F}\mathcal{F}R \subset \mathcal{F}R$; since $\mathcal{F}\mathcal{F}R = R$ (1.4) this implies $R \subset \mathcal{F}R$, then $\mathcal{F}R \subset R$ by applying $\mathcal{F}$ again.

We observe that is enough to prove that $\mathcal{F}R$ is stable under multiplication by θ . Indeed it is then stable under multiplication by e^θ , and finally under $\mathcal{F}$ in view of the formula $\mathcal{F}x = e^\theta ((\bar{x}e^\theta) * e^{-\theta})$ (1.7).

Since the $\mathbf{Q}$ -algebra R is generated by the classes $N^p(w)$, $\mathcal{F}R$ is spanned as a $\mathbf{Q}$ -vector space by the elements

$$\mathcal{F}(N^{p_1}(w) \dots N^{p_r}(w)) = \pm C_{(p_1-1)} * \dots * C_{(p_r-1)}$$

(we are using (1.5) and Corollary 2.4). Actually:

Lemma 3.2. — $\mathcal{F}R$ is spanned by the classes $(k_1 * C) * \dots * (k_r * C)$, for all sequences $(k_1, \dots, k_r)$ of positive integers.

Proof: For $k \in \mathbf{Z}$ we have $k * C = \sum_{s=0}^{g-1} k^{2+s} C_{(s)}$ (1.2); therefore

$$(k_1 * C) * \dots * (k_r * C) = (k_1 \dots k_r)^2 \sum_{s_1, \dots, s_r} k_1^{s_1} \dots k_r^{s_r} C_{(s_1)} * \dots * C_{(s_r)}$$

where $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_r)$ runs in $[0, g-1]^r$; this shows in particular that $(k_1 * C) * \dots * (k_r * C)$ belongs to $\mathcal{F}R$. We claim that we can choose g^r r -uples $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_r)$ so that the matrix $(a_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{s}})$ with entries $a_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{s}} = (k_1^{s_1} \dots k_r^{s_r})$ is invertible: if we take for instance the sequence of r -uples $\mathbf{k}_\ell = (\ell, \ell^g, \dots, \ell^{g^{r-1}})$, for $1 \leq \ell \leq g^r$, we get for $\det(a_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{s}})$ a non-zero Vandermonde determinant. Thus each element $C_{(s_1)} * \dots * C_{(s_r)}$ is a $\mathbf{Q}$ -linear combination of classes of the form $(k_1 * C) * \dots * (k_r * C)$, which proves the lemma. ■

(3.3) Thus it suffices to prove that each product $\theta \cdot ((k_1 * C) * \dots * (k_r * C))$ belongs to $\mathcal{F}R$. We observe that $(k_1 * C) * \dots * (k_r * C)$ is a multiple of the image of the composite map

$$u : C^r \xrightarrow{\varphi} J^r \xrightarrow{\mathbf{k}} J^r \xrightarrow{m} J$$

where $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_r)$, $\varphi = (\varphi, \dots, \varphi)$ and m is the addition morphism. Thus the class $\theta \cdot ((k_1 * C) * \dots * (k_r * C))$ is proportional to $u_* u^* \theta$.

Let $p_i : J^r \rightarrow J$ (resp. $p_{ij} : J^r \rightarrow J^2$) denote the projection onto the i -th factor (resp. the i -th and j -th factors). In $A^1(J^r)$, we have

$$m^* \theta = \sum_i p_i^* \theta - \sum_{i < j} p_{ij}^* \ell ;$$

indeed for $r = 2$ this is the definition of ℓ , and the general case follows from the theorem of the cube. We have also $k_i^* \theta = k_i^2 \theta$ and $(k_i, k_j)^* \ell = k_i k_j \ell$. Thus

$$\mathbf{k}^* m^* \theta = \sum_i k_i^2 p_i^* \theta - \sum_{i < j} k_i k_j p_{ij}^* \ell ;$$

denoting by q_i , q_{ij} the projections of C^r onto C and C^2 , we find

$$u^* \theta = \sum_i k_i^2 q_i^* \varphi^* \theta - \sum_{i < j} k_i k_j q_{ij}^* (\varphi, \varphi)^* \ell .$$

Let Δ be the diagonal in C^2 . The theorem of the square gives

$$(\varphi, \varphi)^* \mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}_{C^2}(\Delta - C \times o - o \times C) .$$

Therefore $u^* \theta$ is algebraically equivalent to a linear combination of divisors of the form $q_i^* o$ and $q_{ij}^* \Delta$. Under u_* each of these divisors is mapped to a multiple of the cycle $(l_1 * C) * \dots (l_{r-1} * C)$, where the sequence $(l_1 \dots l_{r-1})$ is $(k_1, \dots, \widehat{k_i}, \dots, k_r)$ in the first case and $(k_1, \dots, \widehat{k_i}, \dots, \widehat{k_j}, \dots, k_r, k_i + k_j)$ in the second one (as usual the symbol $\widehat{k_i}$ means that k_i is omitted). This proves our claim, and therefore the Proposition. ■

4. d -gonal curves

Proposition 4.1. — Assume that the curve C is d -gonal, that is, admits a degree d morphism onto $\mathbf{P}^1$. We have $N^k(w) = 0$ for $k \geq d$, and the $\mathbf{Q}$ -algebra R is generated by $w^1, \dots, w^{d-1}$.

Proof: By now this is an immediate consequence of a result of Colombo and van Geemen, which says that for a d -gonal curve $C_{(s)} = 0$ for $s \geq d - 1$ ([CG], Prop. 3.6)¹. This implies $N^k(w) = 0$ for $k \geq d$ (Prop. 2.4), so that R is a polynomial ring in $N^1(w), \dots, N^{d-1}(w)$, hence in $w^1, \dots, w^{d-1}$ (2.2). ■

The case $d = 2$ of the Proposition had already been observed by Collino [Co]:

Corollary 4.2. — If C is hyperelliptic, $R = \mathbf{Q}[\theta]/(\theta^{g+1})$.

Corollary 4.3. — If C is trigonal, R is generated by θ and the class $\eta = N^2(w)$ in $A^2(J)$. There exists an integer $k \leq \frac{g}{3}$ such that

$$R = \mathbf{Q}[\theta, \eta]/(\theta^{g+1}, \theta^{g-2}\eta, \dots, \theta^{g+1-3k}\eta^k, \eta^{k+1}) .$$

¹ Our class $C_{(s)}$ is denoted $\pi_{2g-2-s}C$ in [CG]

Proof : By Proposition (4.1) R is generated by θ and η . For $p, s \in \mathbf{N}$, the class $\theta^{p-2s}\eta^s$ is the only monomial in θ, η which belongs to $A^p(J)_{(s)}$; therefore it spans the $\mathbf{Q}$ -vector space $R_{(s)}^p$ (in particular, this space is zero for $p < 2s$). This implies that the relations between θ and η are monomial, that is, of the form $\theta^r \eta^s = 0$ for some pairs $(r, s) \in \mathbf{N}^2$.

Similarly, as a $\mathbf{Q}$ -algebra for the Pontryagin product, R is generated by $C_{(0)}$ and $C_{(1)}$. The $\mathbf{Q}$ -vector space $R_{(s)}^p$ is spanned by $C_{(0)}^{*(g-p-s)} * C_{(1)}^{*s}$, hence is zero for $p + s > g$. In particular we see that $\theta^r \eta^s = 0$ as soon as $r + 3s > g$.

Let k be the smallest integer such that $\eta^k \neq 0$, $\eta^{k+1} = 0$. By what we have just seen the first relation implies $3k \leq g$. Suppose we have $\theta^r \eta^s = 0$ for some integers r, s with $r + 3s \leq g$ and $s \leq k$. Then we have $R_{(s)}^{r+2s} = 0$ and $C_{(0)}^{*(g-r-3s)} * C_{(1)}^{*s} = 0$. Taking $*$ -product with $C_{(0)}^{*r}$ we arrive at $C_{(0)}^{*(g-3s)} * C_{(1)}^{*s} = 0$, which implies $\eta^s = 0$, contradicting the definition of k . ■

In the general case, since any curve of genus g has a g_d^1 with $d \leq \frac{g+3}{2}$ ([ACGH], Ch. V, thm. 1.1), we get:

Corollary 4.4. — Put $d := \lceil \frac{g+1}{2} \rceil$. The $\mathbf{Q}$ -algebra R is generated by $w^1, \dots, w^d$. ■

(4.5) On the opposite side, we may ask how many of the classes w^i are really needed to generate R . Here we know nothing except Ceresa's result [C]: *for C generic of genus ≥ 3 the class $N^2(w)$ is non-zero*. Ceresa uses the intermediate Jacobian of J , thus his method can only detect non-zero classes in $A(J)_{(1)}$. It would be quite interesting to decide for instance whether $N^3(w)$ is nonzero for a generic curve of genus ≥ 5 .

REFERENCES

- [ACGH] E. ARBARELLO, M. CORNALBA, P. GRIFFITHS, J. HARRIS: *Geometry of algebraic curves I*. Grund. der math. Wiss. **267**, Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin-Heidelberg-Tokyo (1985).
- [B1] A. BEAUVILLE: *Quelques remarques sur la transformation de Fourier dans l'anneau de Chow d'une variété abélienne*. Algebraic Geometry (Tokyo/Kyoto 1982), LN **1016**, 238–260; Springer-Verlag (1983).
- [B2] A. BEAUVILLE: *Sur l'anneau de Chow d'une variété abélienne*. Math. Ann. **273** (1986), 647–651.
- [C] G. CERESA: *C is not algebraically equivalent to C^- in its Jacobian*. Ann. of Math. (2) **117** (1983), 285–291.

- [CG] E. COLOMBO, B. VAN GEEMEN: *Note on curves in a Jacobian*. *Compositio Math.* **88** (1993), 333–353.
- [Co] A. COLLINO: *Poincaré’s formulas and hyperelliptic curves*. *Atti Accad. Sci. Torino* **109** (1975), 89–101.
- [M] A. MATTUCK: *Symmetric products and Jacobians*. *Amer. J. Math.* **83** (1961), 189–206
- [N] M. NORI: *Cycles on the generic abelian threefold*. *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Math. Sci.* **99** (1989), 191–196.

Arnaud BEAUVILLE
 Laboratoire J.-A. Dieudonné
 UMR 6621 du CNRS
 UNIVERSITÉ DE NICE
 Parc Valrose
 F-06108 NICE Cedex 02